
VALIDATION

Lepomis gibbosus qPCR detection kit

SYL101

Document date: 06 October 2026



Sylphium
molecular ecology

For general laboratory and research use only.

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology

Table of Contents

1. *In silico* validation 4

2. Experimental validation 5

2.1. Analytical sensitivity, fluorescence ouput signal and efficiency 5

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology

1. *In silico* validation

Table 1. *In silico* validation of primers and probe

Parameter	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Probe*
Length (bp)	25	20	35
GC %	52	59	42
Stability	2.8	3.0	-
T_m (°C)	65	68	74
Target region	COI (mtDNA)	COI (mtDNA)	COI (mtDNA)
Dimer formation	No	No	No
Nucleotide runs	No	No	Yes (CCCC)

*Probe labelled with FAM

Table 2. *In silico* validation primers and probe combination

PCR product size (bp)	117
Combined dimer formation	No
***In silico* PCR on Genbank**	*Lepomis gibbosus*
Date of in silico PCR	February 2018

Conclusion:

The *in silico* analysis identified the target organism exclusively, with no predicted amplification of non-target taxa.

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology

2. Experimental validation

All validation experiments were conducted using the Sylphium qPCR mix and analyzed with the Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System.

2.1. Analytical sensitivity, fluorescence output signal and efficiency

Standard solutions containing nominal target concentrations of 10^4, 10^3, 10^2, 10, 1 and 0 target copies per reaction were analyzed at the optimal primer and probe concentrations. Fluorescence output was compared with the background signal, with a minimum 100-fold increase in fluorescence considered acceptable. Reaction conditions were optimized when fluorescence signals were insufficiently distinguishable from background noise.

The amplification efficiency of the primer and probe set was calculated from the slope of the standard curve and was considered acceptable when between 90% and 110%.

Results

Positive amplification was observed down to the lowest tested nominal concentration of **1 target copy per reaction**, demonstrating single-copy detection capability under the tested conditions (**Figure 1; Table 3**). The fluorescence output of positive reactions exceeded the predefined criterion of a 100-fold increase relative to the background signal.

The amplification efficiency of the assay was **104.4%**, indicating that the primer and probe set performed within the predefined acceptable efficiency range of 90-110% (**Figure 2; Table 4**).

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology




Figure 1. Amplification curves obtained at the optimal primer and probe concentrations and an annealing temperature of 55°C.

Table 3. Detection results and Cq values obtained at the optimal primer and probe concentrations and an annealing temperature of 55°C

Nominal target copies per reaction*	Target detected	Mean Cq ± SD
0	No	n.a.
1	Yes	32.2 ± 0.3
10	Yes	29.3 ± 0.5
10^2	Yes	25.6 ± 0.0
10^3	Yes	22.9 ± 0.4
10^4	Yes	19.4 ± 0.1

*As estimated by gel electrophoresis.

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology




Figure 2. Standard curve generated from nominal target concentrations of 1, 10, 10^2, 10^3, and 10^4 copies per reaction.

Table 4. Standard curve parameter obtained with the *Lepomis gibbosus* qPCR detection kit

Parameter	Result
Slope	-3.22
Amplification efficiency (%)	104.4
R^2	0.995

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology

Sylphium Molecular Ecology

The eDNA company

Sylphium Molecular Ecology

Diamantlaan 6b
9743 BG Groningen
Netherlands

Tel.: +31 6 82 42 64 44
E-mail: info@sylphium.com

www.sylphium.com

© 2026 Sylphium Molecular Ecology